## Protestor's email of 4/06/18

Confirmation that I have received your e-mail.

Having read the document please can you forward this e-mail to the jury. It contains my comments on the three points they raise, and in light of them I would ask the jury to reconsider it's verdict.

## 1. Over 300 competitors visited the control in question and no other complaints were received;

>>> Only one official complaint, but plenty of muttering in the car park.

## 2. Performance of other competitors on the same course did not appear to be impacted at that or the subsequent control;

>>> M55 ran the same course as M60. Please look at Clive Hallett's split time for this leg. Fortunately for him he was fast enough round the rest of the course to still win his class.

## 3. The control and feature was only marginally displaced and was clearly visible from the position that was considered to be absolutely correct, moreover, the minimal displacement was within acceptable bounds of symbol based mapping and mapper's interpretation.

>>> This is the second time that mapper's interpretation has been brought up.

No "interpretation" is required.

The control site started life mapped as a ruin in 2002; became a boulder cluster for JK 2010; and has now become a large boulder.

The small boulder in the depression is on the 2002 map, but doesn't appear on the JK and subsequent maps.

Hence there is no doubt that the feature on the map is the big pile of stones.

(As indicated in my previous e-mail, if anyone has not seen the maps from 2002 and 2010 then I am happy to supply copies of them).

Furthermore the depression and small boulder are not visible from the location of the large boulder / pile of boulders.

We all make mistakes when planning / controlling but the correct thing to do is to admit when something is wrong.

To continue to insist that the feature shown on the map is anything other than the ruin / pile of stones is, to be honest, hard to understand.